



EXTRAORDINARY

PART II—Section 1

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. ७९] नई दिल्ली, सोमवार, दिसम्बर ३०, १९९१/पाँच ९, १९९१
 No. 79] NEW DELHI, MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 1991/PAUSA 9, 1913

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह प्रस्ताव संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।
 Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Legislative Department)

New Delhi, the 30th December, 1991/Pausa 9, 1913 (Saka)

The following Act of Parliament received the assent of the President on the 28th December, 1991, and is hereby published for general information:—

THE FAMILY COURTS (AMENDMENT) ACT, 1991
 No. 59 OF 1991

[28th December, 1991.]

An Act to amend the Family Courts Act, 1984.

Be it enacted by Parliament in the Forty-second Year of the Republic of India as follows:—

1. This Act may be called the Family Courts (Amendment) Act, 1991.

Short title.

65 of 1984.

2. In Chapter V of the Family Courts Act, 1984,—

Amendment of Chapter V.

(a) for the heading "APPEALS", the heading "APPEALS AND REVISIONS" shall be substituted;

(b) in section 19,—

(i) in sub-section (2), after the word "parties", the following shall be inserted, namely:—

2 of 1974.

"or from an order passed under Chapter IX of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973:

(1)

Provided that nothing in this sub-section shall apply to any appeal pending before a High Court or any order passed under Chapter IX of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 before the commencement of the Family Courts (Amendment) Act, 1991; and:

2 of 1974

(ii) sub-sections (4) and (5) shall be renumbered as sub-sections (5) and (6) respectively and before sub-section (5) as so renumbered, the following sub-section shall be inserted, namely:—

“(4) The High Court may, of its own motion or otherwise, call for and examine the record of any proceeding in which the Family Court situate within its jurisdiction passed an order under Chapter IX of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for the purpose of satisfying itself as to the correctness, legality or propriety of the order, not being an interlocutory order, and as to the regularity of such proceeding.”

2 of 1974

V. S. RAMA DEVI,
Secy. to the Govt. of India.